Kinetics of the Solid-State Polymerization of Nylon-6

JIAN-JUN XIE

Institute of Polymer Science and Engineering, Xiangtan University, Hunan, 411105, People's Republic of China

Received 6 April 2001; accepted 17 July 2001

ABSTRACT: The kinetics of the thermally induced solid-state polymerization (SSP) of nylon-6 were examined in both a fixed-bed reactor and a rotary reactor. Factors such as the regulator content, the reaction temperature and time, the particle size, the type and geometry of the nylon-6 prepolymer, the nitrogen gas flow rate, the water content of the nitrogen gas flow, and the polymerization process were studied. The results showed that the regulator content, the reaction temperature and time, and the particle size were the primary factors, and that the others were negligible. Moreover, the SSP rate and number-average molecular weight (M_n) increased with increasing reaction temperature and time and decreasing particle size. The SSP rate and M_n had maximum values with increasing regulator content in an experimental range of 0.03-0.07 wt %. © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 84: 616-621, 2002; DOI 10.1002/app.10341

Key words: nylon 6; solid-state polymerization; kinetics; number-average molecular weight; end-group concentration

INTRODUCTION

The solid-state polymerization (SSP) of nylon-6 is achieved by the heating of low molecular weight polymer (prepolymer) pellets below their melting temperature but above their glass-transition temperature. Polycondensation occurs, and the condensation byproducts can be removed by the application of a vacuum or an inert gas. The synthesis of high-quality and high molecular weight polyesters and polyamides is important for type cords and engineering plastics. This process is commonly performed at a temperature close to the melting point of the polymer $(10-40^{\circ}C \text{ lower})$. Under these conditions, the polymer end groups are sufficiently mobilized for the reaction to take place. The main polycondensation reaction is an equilibrium reaction, and byproducts, such as water, are removed so that the forward reaction will be favored. The polycondensation rate depends on both chemical and physical processes, and there are three possible rate-determining steps:

- 1. Chemical reaction control: A reversible chemical reaction that includes microlevel end-group diffusion.
- Interior diffusion control: Diffusion of the volatile reaction byproducts in the solid polymer.
- 3. Surface diffusion control: Diffusion of the volatile reaction byproducts from the surface of the polymer pellets to the inert gas.

Depending on the process and operating variables, the polycondensation rate is controlled by one or more of these steps. It is important to examine the control mechanism of the SSP process not only for optimizing process parameters but also for improving product quality.

The main factors that affect SSP include the reaction temperature and time, the size and type of the particle, the initial molecular weight, the

Correspondence to: J.-J. Xie (xiejjun12@263.net). Contract grant sponsor: Education Committee (Hunan Province, People's Republic of China).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 84, 616–621 (2002) © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

number and type of chain end groups, the catalyst employed, the crystallinity, and the technique used for the removal of byproducts. Pilati¹ and Fakirov² provided two excellent reviews of the work in this area. Models, mechanisms, and kinetics for poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) have been widely studied, but very little has been published about nylon-6. Zimmerman³ showed a tendency to broader than normal molecular weight distributions and a formula for the kinetics in studying the polyamidation equilibrium in the solid phase. Gaymans et al.⁴ studied the factors influencing nylon-6 polymerization in the solid state, such as the starting molecular weight, heat treatment, and remelting. Heat treatment had little effect, but the starting molecular weight had a strong effect on the reaction rate. The higher the starting molecular weight was, the faster the reaction was. Gupta and coworkers^{5,6} proposed two models of the SSP of nylon-6 and verified them with the experimental data of Gaymans et al. Mallon and Ray⁷ developed a particle model of solid-state polycondensation that considered the polymer crystalline fraction and the concentrated end groups and condensate in the amorphous fraction. Xie and coworkers⁸⁻¹¹ studied the factors influencing SSP for nylon-6, proposed two models with monofunctional and bifunctional regulators, and verified the models with their experimental data. In this article, we discuss the mechanism and kinetics of the SSP of nylon-6.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

 ϵ -Caprolactam (commercial-grade), 6-aminocaproic acid (analytical-grade), and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (analytical-grade) were supplied by BASF (Italy). Sulfuric acid (95–98%), 0.02 mol/L HCl and NaOH standard solutions, and benzoic acid (Chemical Reagent Company, Shanghai, China) were analytical-grade. Commercial nylon-6 chips were supplied by the Ninth Chemical Fiber Factory (Shanghai, China) and the Chemical Fiber Factory (Xuzhou, China).

Melt Polymerization of Nylon-6 Prepolymer Chips

Nylon-6 prepolymer was made from the melt polymerization of ϵ -caprolactam under a dry nitrogen gas flow according to the usual method^{8,12} in an aluminum fixed bed that had four glass tubes with an automated temperature-controlled system thermostated to an accuracy of $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. The tube reactor was 2.5 cm in diameter and 25 cm long. The rod-shaped polymers taken off the broken tubes were turned on a lathe and cut first into spiral rods and then into chips. The weighed samples were extracted with 20 times their weight of freshly distilled water at 90°C under 1 atm for 16 h and then were filtered and dried to a constant weight via heating to 105°C under a high vacuum. The self-made prepolymer chips were only used for discussing the effect of the regulator content and the particle geometry for the SSP of nylon-6.

SSP

The SSP for prepolymer chips and commercial chips of nylon-6 was conducted in the same apparatus, temperature-controlled under a dry nitrogen flow, as the melt polymerization. The rotary reactor was the same as that used by Chang et al.¹³

Analyses

The relative viscosity (η_r) of nylon-6 for the prepolymer chips and the SSP samples was determined in 95.7% sulfuric acid as a solvent at 20 \pm 0.1°C with an Ubbelohde-type viscometer. The concentration for the measurement of η_r for the SSP of the nylon-6 samples was 0.01 g/mL. The number-average molecular weight (M_n) , which could be used to determine the number-average degree of polymerization, was determined with the following equation:¹⁴

$$\bar{M}_n = 11500(\eta_r - 1) \tag{1}$$

Carboxy and amino end groups were determined by the titration of a 0.01 g/mL polymer solution in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol at 60°C with 0.02 mol/L NaOH and HCl aqueous solutions, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of the Regulator Content

Figure 1 shows the effects of the different regulator contents on M_n at 200°C and nitrogen gas flow rate, $Q_{N_2} = 60 \text{ mL/min}$. M_n had a maximum value with the regulator content increasing from 0.03 to 0.07 wt % for the self-made prepolymer chips. This agrees with the results shown in Figure 2,



Figure 1 Effect of the relative molecular mass regulator of the prepolymer on M_n for SSP in a fixed bed.

which displays the change in the end-group concentration with the progress of the reaction in the solid state. The reason is not clear and should be discussed in the future.

Effect of the Reaction Temperature and Time

Figure 3 shows the effects of the reaction temperature and time on M_n for the SSP of commercial nylon-6 chips. M_n increased with the reaction temperature and time. This agrees with the results of Huang and Walsh¹⁵ for PET SSP. The higher reaction temperature accelerated the diffusion rate of the chain end groups and increased the reaction rate of SSP. Also, the longer reaction time increased the residence time and made the chain end groups of the longer distance diffuse to approach one another; this produced an easy reaction. Of course, the diffusion distance depended on the end-group concentration and its distribution. Initially, there was a homogeneous distribution. In the SSP, a change in the end-group distribution away from the homogeneous distribution was possible because some of the nearest end groups were reacting away while others remained frozen. The end groups of the longer distance diffused to approach one another and reacted when both the reaction temperature and time increased. Therefore, M_n increased. Meanwhile, the increase in the SSP reaction rate decreased with the reaction time increasing and the reaction temperature rising because the end-group concentration decreased with the increasing reaction time and rising reaction temperature. This was also verified by the results shown in Figure 4, which displays the change in the end-group concentration with the reaction temperature and time. The end-group concentration decreased with increasing temperature and time for the SSP of nylon-6.

Effect of the Nitrogen Gas Flow Rate

The effect of the gas flow rate on M_n at different reaction times and 200°C is shown in Figure 5. The gas flow rate hardly affected M_n and the SSP rate. The gas flow rate had a small effect on M_n at 4 h and $Q_{N_2} < 100$ mL/min [Fig. 5(a)]. This could be experimental error because M_n had a distribution from the particle center to the particle surface and was maximum at the center of the particle for the SSP of poly(butylene terephthalate) (PBT).¹⁶ The results of the end-group method also showed no change for M_n with the gas flow rate increasing from 50 to 200 mL/min [Fig. 5(b)], but



Figure 2 Effect of the regulator contents on the endgroup concentrations at 220°C and $Q_{\rm N_2} = 60$ mL/min in a fixed bed.



Figure 3 Effect of different temperatures on M_n at $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min in a fixed bed: (1) 220°C, (2) 200°C, and (3) 190°C.

 M_n increased at $Q_{N_2} = 240 \text{ mL/min}$ (which could also be experimental error). The results of the end-group-concentration measurements further verified that the aforementioned conclusion was correct because the end-group concentration did not change with the nitrogen gas flow rate increasing from 50 to 240 mL/min (Fig. 6). The SSP of nylon-6 was not an outside-diffusion-controlled process in the experimental range of the nitrogen gas flow rate. That is, the diffusion of the volatile reaction product (water) from the surface of the polymer to the inert gas was not controlled.

Effect of the Particle Size

Figure 7 shows the effect of the particle size on the SSP rate and M_n at 190°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min. The larger particles resulted in a lower SSP reaction rate and a lower value of M_n . Huang and Walsh¹⁵ found for the SSP of PET that inte-



Figure 4 End-group concentrations versus time at $Q_{N_2} = 60 \text{ mL/min in a fixed bed:} (\blacksquare) \text{ COOH and } (\blacktriangle) \text{ NH}_2$ at 473 K and (♦) COOH and (♥) NH₂ at 493 K.



Figure 5 Effect of the nitrogen gas flow rates on M_n at 200°C and different SSP times in a fixed bed: (a) viscosity method [(1) 4 and (2) 8 h] and (b) end-group method.

rior diffusion had more effect on the SSP reaction rate than surface diffusion when a large-particle sample was used and that at low reaction temper-



Figure 6 Effect of the nitrogen gas flow rates on the end-group concentrations at different SSP times in a fixed bed.



Figure 7 Effect of the particle diameters on M_n at 190°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min in a fixed bed. The equivalent diameters were (1) 0.98, (2) 1.2, and (3) 1.4 mm.

atures the SSP reaction rate for the large-particle sample was controlled first by chemical reaction, second by ethylene glycol (EG) diffusion from the solid polymer to the surface, and third by EG diffusion from the surface to the inert gas. At the experimental temperatures and nitrogen gas flow rates employed in this study, the water interior diffusion from the solid polymer to the surface was a controlled process for the SSP reaction rate in the experimental range used.



Figure 8 Effect of the particle geometry of different prepolymer chips on M_n at 190°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min in the rotary reactor: (1) chips from the Chemical Fiber Factory (Xuzhou, China), cylinder particle (ϕ 1.50 × 1.63 mm, equivalent diameter = 1.4 mm); (2,3) self-made prepolymer, thin rectangular particle (2.0 mm × 4.0 mm × 0.5 mm); and (4) chips from the 9th Chemical Fiber Factory (Shanghai, China), cylinder particle (ϕ 1.125 × 1.82 mm, equivalent diameter = 1.2 mm).



Figure 9 Effect of the particle geometry on the endgroup concentrations at 220°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60 \text{ mL/min}$ in the rotary reactor: (1) chips from the 9th Chemical Fiber Factory, cylinder particle ($\phi 1.125 \times 1.82 \text{ mm}$, equivalent diameter = 1.2 mm), and (2) chips from the Chemical Fiber Factory, cylinder particle ($\phi 1.50 \times 1.63 \text{ mm}$, equivalent diameter = 1.4 mm).

Effect of the Particle Type and Geometry

Figures 8 and 9 give the values of M_n and the end-group concentrations, respectively, versus the SSP time for the different nylon-6 prepolymer chips in the rotary reactor. The particle geometry of the prepolymer had little effect on the SSP rate and M_n . The particle size, however, had an important effect on the SSP rate and M_n (Fig. 8). The particle size for curves 2 and 3 (self-made rectangular chips) was obviously smaller than that for curves 1 and 4 (cylinder chips). It can be inferred that the former was a chemical-controlled process



Figure 10 Effect of the water content of nitrogen gas flow on M_n at 190°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min in a fixed bed: (**I**) pure N₂ saturated by water, (**O**) pure N₂, and (**A**) pure N₂ dried by silica gel.



Figure 11 Effect of the different polymerization methods on M_n at 190°C and $Q_{N_2} = 60$ mL/min: (1) fixed-bed reactor and 0.03 wt % regulator content, (2) rotary reactor and 0.03 wt % regulator content, (3) fixed-bed reactor and chips from the 9th Chemical Fiber Factory, and (4) rotary drum reactor and chips from the 9th Chemical Fiber Factory.

and the latter was an interior-diffusion-controlled process.

Effect of the Water Content of the Nitrogen Gas Flow

The effect of the water content of the nitrogen gas flow on the SSP reaction rate and M_n is shown in Figure 10. The water content of the nitrogen gas flow did not affect the SSP reaction rate and M_n for three experimental conditions: pure N₂ saturated by water, pure N₂, and pure N₂ dried by silicon gel. It can be inferred from the results that the water content produced by the SSP of commercial nylon-6 chips was lower than that required by outside diffusion controlled from the polymer surface to the inert gas. This further verifies that the SSP of nylon-6 was not an outside-diffusion-controlled process in the experimental temperature ranges used.

Effect of the Polymerization Process

Figure 11 shows the effects of the different polymerization processes on the SSP rate and M_n . The SSP rate and M_n in the rotary reactor were lower

than the SSP rate and M_n in the fixed-bed reactor for the same nylon-6 chips under the experimental temperature-controlled conditions. This can be explained by the temperature effect: the reaction temperature of the particle inside the rotary reactor was about 5°C lower than that in the fixedbed reactor. Moreover, the reason that M_n with the longer polymerization time slightly decreased may be the oxidation of the nylon-6 chips with the increasing reaction time.

REFERENCES

- Pilati, F. In Comprehensive Polymer Science; Eastmond, G. C.; Ledwith, A.; Russo, S.; Sigwalt, P., Eds.; Pergamon: New York, 1989; Vol. 5, p 201.
- Fakirov, S. In Solid State Behavior of Linear Polyesters and Polyamides; Scultz, J. M.; Fakirov, S., Eds.; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1990; p 1.
- Zimmerman, J. J Polym Sci Part B: Polym Lett 1964, 2, 955.
- Gaymans, R. J.; Amirtharaj, J.; Kamp, H. J Appl Polym Sci 1982, 27, 2513.
- Kaushik, A.; Gupta, S. K. J Appl Polym Sci 1992, 45, 507.
- Kulkarni, M. R.; Gupta, S. K. J Appl Polym Sci 1994, 53, 85.
- Mallon, F. K.; Ray, W. H. J Appl Polym Sci 1998, 69, 1233.
- Xie, J. J. Study on Polymerization Reaction Engineering of Nylon 6; Work Report of the Postdoctor in China; China Textile University: Shanghai, 1999.
- Xie, J. J.; Huang, N. X.; Tang, Z. L.; Chen, L.; Wang, X. Q. Synth Fiber China 1999, 28(5), 12.
- 10. Xie, J. J. Ind Eng Chem Res 2001, 40, 3152.
- 11. Xie, J. J.; Liu, P. S. Chem Eng Sci, submitted.
- Tang, Z. L.; Lin, J.; Huang, N. X.; Fantoni, R. F. Angew Macromol Chem 1997, 250, 1.
- Chang, S. Y.; Sheu, M. F.; Chen, S. M. J Appl Polym Sci 1983, 28, 3289.
- Fantoni, R. F. Polyamide 6—Basic Chemistry of Caprolactam Polymerization; Noyvallesina Engineering: Parre, Italy, 1990; p 68.
- 15. Huang, B.; Walsh, J. J. Polymer 1998, 39, 6991.
- Buxbaum, L. H. J Appl Polym Sci Appl Polym Symp 1979, 35, 59.